

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/587,813		LOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Sin J Lee		1752	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sin J Lee. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Sean B. Mahoney (attorney for applicants). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4, 17-20 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim dependency of claim 4 will be changed from claim 2 to claim 1, and claim dependency of claim 24 will be changed from claim 22 to claim 10 (these changes are being made to provide proper antecedent basis for the term "the polymeric composition"). Claim 18 will be changed (for clarification purpose) to --- A method of treating an electronic part precursor, the precursor comprising a substrate and an imageable coating on the substrate, the imageable coating comprising a polymeric composition, the method comprising the step of heat-treating the precursor under conditions which inhibit the removal of moisture from the precursor, wherein heat-treating includes holding the precursor at a temperature of about 40oC or above for at least 12 hours; to provide the electronic part precursor. ---. Claims 17, 19, and 20 will be canceled..